



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,341	03/22/2004	Hiroaki Tsutsui	119174	8999
25944	7590	06/27/2006		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			EXAMINER KUGEL, TIMOTHY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1712

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/805,341

Applicant(s)

TSUTSUI ET AL.

Examiner

Timothy J. Kugel

Art Unit

1712

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08).  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/22/04.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-13 are pending as filed on 22 March 2004.

#### ***Priority***

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

3. The information disclosure statement(s) submitted on 22 March 2004 is/are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statement(s).

#### ***Specification***

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
5. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously

Art Unit: 1712

incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

6. The use of the trademark IRGACURE has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner that might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 and 35 USC § 112***

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

10. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 provides for the use of a polymer gel composition in an optical device, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

12. Claims 1-9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,287,485 (Akashi hereinafter).

Akashi teaches an optical device comprised of a cell prepared from a pair of substrates sealed with a spacer (Column 3 Line 64 – Column 4 Line 14) containing particles (Column 8 Lines 7-22) of a polymer gel capable of reversible swelling-contracting by absorbing-desorbing a liquid when a stimulus—such as the application of heat at between 20°C and 50°C (Examples 3-6 Column 15 Line 39 – Column 19 Line 7)—is given (Column 3 Line 64 – Column 4 Line 14 and Column 4 Lines 56-66) comprising a crosslinked and/or interpenetrating network of polymers of

Art Unit: 1712

(meth)acrylamide and meth(acrylic acid) (Column 5 Line 52 – Column 7 Line 28), a swelling liquid (Column 10 Lines 52-67 and Column 15 Lines 13-20) and a light-modulating material (Column 8 Lines 7-22).

13. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Franck Ilmain et al.; Volume Transition in a Gel Driven by Hydrogen Bonding; *Nature*; Jan 31, 1991; 349; 6308 (Ilmain hereinafter).

Ilmain teaches a polymer gel composition comprising a liquid—water—and particles of a polymer gel comprised of crosslinked partially ionized poly(acrylic acid) interpolymerized within a crosslinked poly(acrylamide) gel wherein the composition shows a reversible phase transition between 10°C and 50°C (Page 1 ¶¶1-4, Fig. 1).

14. Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiroki Katono et al., Thermo-responsive Swelling and Drug Release Switching of Poly(acrylamide-co-butyl methacrylate) and Poly(acrylic acid), *Journal of Controlled Release*, 16, 1991, 215-217, (Katono hereinafter).

Katono teaches a polymer gel composition comprising a liquid—water—and a polymer gel comprised of crosslinked poly(acrylic acid) interpolymerized within a crosslinked poly(acrylamide-co-butyl methacrylate) gel wherein the composition shows a reversible phase transition between 10°C and 40°C (Page 1 ¶¶1-3).

15. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication 2004/0121017 (Ishii hereinafter).

Ishii teaches an optical device (Abstract, ¶0101) comprising a polymer gel composition sealed between two substrates (¶0101), wherein the polymer gel

Art Unit: 1712

composition comprises a liquid (¶0003) and particles of a polymer gel (¶0073) comprising a interpenetrating network (IPN) of two polymers—including those produced from (meth)acrylamide monomers—at least one of which is partially neutralized, crosslinked and has a carboxylic group—further comprising another resin in which the polymer gel is dispersed and a light modulating material (¶¶0010-0050) and wherein the polymer gel composition exhibits a reversible phase transition in response to heat (¶0001) between 10°C and 80°C (Examples 1-7 ¶¶0122-0158).

The applied reference has a common assignee and at least one common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention “by another,” or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1712

17. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US Patent 4,891,119 (Ogawa hereinafter).

Ogawa teaches a polyacrylamide gel comprising acrylamide, N-methylacrylamide, and N,N-dimethylacrylamide independently and in combination (Abstract, Column 1 Lines 7-11 and Column 2 Lines 63-68), crosslinked with N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide as exemplified by applicant (Column 3 Lines 4-25), in an aqueous medium wherein the water-soluble polymer is dispersed within the three dimensional crosslinked polymer structure (Column 4 Lines 48-60).

Since Ogawa teaches the same composition as claimed, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have expected that the swelling/contracting response to temperature of the Ogawa composition would intrinsically be the same as claimed.

Where applicant claims a composition in terms of a function, property or characteristic and the composition of the prior art is the same as that of the claim but the function is not explicitly disclosed by the reference, the examiner may make a rejection under both 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103. "There is nothing inconsistent in concurrent rejections for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103 and for anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102." *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255 n.4, 195 USPQ 430, 433 n.4 (CCPA 1977).

### **Conclusion**

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.



Art Unit: 1712


US 6,295,167	09-2001	Uematsu et al.
US 2003/0012934	01-2003	Kawahara et al.
US 6,785,035	08-2004	Uematsu et al.

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Kugel whose telephone number is (571) 272-1460. The examiner can normally be reached 6:00 AM – 4:30 PM Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

20. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TJK  
Art Unit 1712

  
RANDY GULAKOWSKI  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700